

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
District of ColumbiaUnited States of America
v.
PHILLIP ANDREW BROMLEY
[REDACTED]) Case: 1:21-mj-00227
) Assigned to: Judge Faruqui, Zia M
) Assign Date: 2/12/2021
) Description: COMPLAINT W/ARREST WARRANT
)
)
)_____
Defendant(s)

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

I, the complainant in this case, state that the following is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

On or about the date(s) of January 6, 2021 in the county of _____ in the
_____ District of Columbia, the defendant(s) violated:*Code Section*
18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)
40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)*Offense Description*
Unlawful Entry to Restricted Building
Disorderly Conduct on Capitol Grounds

This criminal complaint is based on these facts:

SEE ATTACHED AFFIDAVIT

☒ Continued on the attached sheet.*William Novak*_____
*Complainant's signature*William Novak, Special Agent

*Printed name and title*Attested to by the applicant in accordance with the requirements of Fed. R. Crim. P. 4.1 by
Telephone _____ (specify reliable electronic means).Date: 02/12/2021*[Signature]*2021.02.12 17:30:30
-05'00'_____
*Judge's signature*City and state: Washington, DCZIA M. FARUQUI, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	Case No:
	:	
v.	:	
	:	VIOLATIONS:
	:	
PHILLIP ANDREW BROMLEY,	:	18 U.S.C. § 1752(a),
	:	(Restricted Building or Grounds)
Defendant.	:	
	:	40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)
	:	(Violent Entry or Disorderly Conduct)

I, William Novak, first being duly sworn, hereby depose and state as follows:

1. I am a Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”) and am assigned to the Washington Field Office Public Corruption/Civil Rights Squad. I am currently tasked with investigating criminal activity in and around the U.S. Capitol (“Capitol”) grounds on January 6, 2021. As an FBI Special Agent, I am authorized by law or by a Government agency to engage in or supervise the prevention, detention, investigation, or prosecution of a violation of federal criminal laws.

2. This affidavit is being submitted in support of a criminal complaint and an arrest warrant based on probable cause to believe that PHILLIP ANDREW BROMLEY has committed the offenses of Unlawful Entry of a Restricted Building, in violation of Title 18, Section 1752(a), and Disorderly Conduct on Capitol Grounds, in violation of Title 40, Section 5104(e)(2).

3. The facts and information contained in this affidavit are based on my personal knowledge and observations, my review of records and documents obtained during this

investigation, information received from other individuals, and my experience and training as a Special Agent.

4. This affidavit is intended to show merely that there is sufficient probable cause for the requested warrant and does not set forth all of my knowledge about this matter.

PROBABLE CAUSE

Probable Cause – The U.S. Capitol on January 6, 2021

5. The Capitol, which is located at First Street, SE, in Washington, D.C., is secured 24 hours a day by U.S. Capitol Police (“USCP”). Restrictions around the Capitol include permanent and temporary security barriers and posts manned by USCP. Only authorized people with appropriate identification were allowed access inside the Capitol.

6. On January 6, 2021, the exterior plaza of the Capitol was also closed to members of the public.

7. On January 6, 2021, a joint session of the United States Congress convened at the Capitol. During the joint session, elected members of the United States House of Representatives and the United States Senate were meeting in separate chambers of the Capitol to certify the vote count of the Electoral College of the 2020 Presidential Election, which had taken place on November 3, 2020. The joint session began at approximately 1:00 p.m. Shortly thereafter, by approximately 1:30 p.m., the House and Senate adjourned to separate chambers to resolve a particular objection. Vice President Mike Pence was present and presiding, first in the joint session, and then in the Senate chamber.

8. As the proceedings continued in both the House and the Senate, and with Vice President Pence present and presiding over the Senate, a large crowd gathered outside the Capitol. As noted above, temporary and permanent barricades were in place around the exterior of the

Capitol building, and USCP were present and attempting to keep the crowd away from the Capitol and the proceedings underway inside.

9. At such time, the certification proceedings were still underway and the exterior doors and windows of the Capitol were locked or otherwise secured. Members of the USCP attempted to maintain order and keep the crowd from entering the Capitol; however, shortly around 2:00 p.m., individuals in the crowd forced entry into the Capitol, including by breaking windows and by assaulting members of the USCP, as others in the crowd encouraged and assisted those acts.

10. Shortly thereafter, at approximately 2:20 p.m., members of the United States House of Representatives and United States Senate, including the President of the Senate, Vice President Pence, were instructed to—and did—evacuate the chambers. Accordingly, the joint session of the United States Congress was effectively suspended until shortly after 8:00 p.m. Vice President Pence remained in the Capitol from the time he was evacuated from the Senate Chamber until the sessions resumed.

11. During national news coverage of the aforementioned events, video footage which appeared to be captured on mobile devices of persons present on the scene depicted evidence of violations of local and federal law, including scores of individuals inside the Capitol building without authority to be there.

Probable Cause – Bromley

12. Your affiant identified BROMLEY as an individual who was inside the Capitol building on January 6, 2021, without authority.

13. Your affiant is aware of a ProPublica website entitled “What Parler Saw During the Attack on the Capitol” (available at <https://projects.propublica.org/parler-capitol-videos/>). The

website contains numerous videos that appear to have been recorded on January 6, 2021, in and around the Capitol building.

14. One of the videos was entitled “4:26 p.m. Near Capitol” (hereinafter “Video 1”). I have reviewed Video 1. In Video 1, an individual – whom I identify as BROMLEY for the reasons set forth below – provides a narrative of events that he witnessed in the Capitol building.

15. In his narrative of events on Video 1, BROMLEY states: “listen...everybody needs to know the truth.” BROMLEY proceeds to describe how he “breached the right side,” “went in,” and “came to two large glass doors.” When he reached the doors, BROMLEY continues by stating he was talking with SWAT officers and reminding them “of their oath,” at which time “a gunshot went off” and a woman was “shot her in the neck.” BROMLEY continues by stating it “did not look like a survivable wound” and that “she [the woman who was shot] was eight feet in front of me on a line.” BROMLEY further describes the clothing he observed the woman to be wearing when she was shot and states “they shot her and she is dead.”

16. BROMLEY concludes his narrative by stating: “my name is PHILLIP BROMLEY.”

17. Below are two still images from Video 1 of BROMLEY while he was providing his narrative of events:



18. Your affiant is further aware of numerous videos that were apparently recorded inside the Capitol building on or around the time Ashli Babbitt was shot. Your affiant is specifically aware of a video posted to YouTube entitled “Video shows police treating woman shot during protests at U.S. Capitol” (available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?reload=9&v=BUmhuNOe1e4&bpctr=1611152588> (hereinafter “Video 2”). I have reviewed Video 2. In Video 2, your affiant observed an individual whom I assess is BROMLEY at the scene where Babbitt was shot. Provided below are two still images from Video 2 that depict an individual whom I believe is BROMLEY:



19. Your affiant obtained surveillance video from the Capitol building from the U.S. Capitol Police (hereinafter "Video 3"). I have reviewed Video 3. In Video 3, an individual whom I identify as BROMLEY is observed inside the Capitol building. Provided below are two still images from Video 3 that depict an individual whom I identify as BROMLEY:



20. Your affiant obtained the Alabama Law Enforcement Agency's photograph of BROMLEY from his driver's license and compared that photograph of BROMLEY to the individual providing the narrative of events outside the Capitol building (*supra* ¶¶ 14-17) in Video

1. Based on this comparison and other information, including the admission of the individual at the end of Video 1 that his name is “PHILLIP BROMLEY,” your affiant believes BROMLEY is in fact the individual providing the narrative of events in Video 1.

21. Your affiant believes there is probable cause that BROMLEY unlawfully entered the Capitol building on January 6, 2021 based on, among other things, my review of Video 1 and his admission in the narrative of events in Video 1 that he “breached” the Capitol building, his first-hand description in Video 1 of the events that he claims to have witnessed inside the Capitol building (i.e., the fatal shooting of Babitt), and Videos 2 and 3 in which I observed an individual whom I assess to be BROMLEY in the Capitol building. In particular, the individual in Videos 2 and 3, described *supra* ¶¶ 18-19, appears to have a similar beard, is wearing a dark colored jacket and hat, and appears to have the same gloves as the individual who provides the narrative of events outside the Capitol building.

22. Based on the foregoing, your affiant submits that there is probable cause to believe that BROMLEY violated 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) and (2), which makes it a crime to (1) knowingly enter or remain in any restricted building or grounds without lawful authority to do; and (2) knowingly, and with intent to impede or disrupt the orderly conduct of Government business or official functions, engage in disorderly or disruptive conduct in, or within such proximity to, any restricted building or grounds when, or so that, such conduct, in fact, impedes or disrupts the orderly conduct of Government business or official functions; or attempts or conspires to do so. For purposes of Section 1752 of Title 18, a “restricted building” includes a posted, cordoned off, or otherwise restricted area of a building or grounds where the President or other person protected by the Secret Service, including the Vice President, is or will be temporarily visiting; or any

building or grounds so restricted in conjunction with an event designated as a special event of national significance.

23. Your affiant submits there is also probable cause to believe that BROMLEY violated 40 U.S.C. §§ 5104(e)(2)(D) and (G), which make it a crime to willfully and knowingly (D) utter loud, threatening, or abusive language, or engage in disorderly or disruptive conduct, at any place in the Grounds or in any of the Capitol Buildings with the intent to impede, disrupt, or disturb the orderly conduct of a session of Congress or either House of Congress, or the orderly conduct in that building of a hearing before, or any deliberations of, a committee of Congress or either House of Congress; and (G) parade, demonstrate, or picket in any of the Capitol Buildings.

CONCLUSION

24. Based on my training and experience, and the information provided in this affidavit, there is probable cause to believe that on or about January 6, 2021, in the District of Columbia, PHILLIP BROMLEY did knowingly and willfully commit the offenses of Unlawful Entry of a Restricted Building, in violation of Title 18, Section 1752(a), and Disorderly Conduct on Capitol Grounds, in violation of Title 40, Section 5104(e)(2).

William Novak

William Novak
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Attested to by the applicant in accordance with the requirements of Fed. R. Crim. P. 4.1 by
telephone, this 12th day of February 2021.



2021.02.12
17:35:23 -05'00'

ZIA M. FARUQUI
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE